

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. |  | FILING DATE  | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR  Kenichi Ueyama | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. 205733US0 | CONFIRMATION NO.      |  |
|-----------------|--|--------------|--------------------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------|--|
| 09/832,897      |  | 04/12/2001   |                                      |                               |                       |  |
| 22850           | 7590   | 12/26/2002   |                                      |                               |                       |  |
|                 |  | MCCLELLAND M | EXAMINER                             |                               |                       |  |
| 1755 JEFF       | FOURTH FLOOR<br>1755 JEFFERSON DAVIS HIGHWAY |              |                                      |                               | GOLLAMUDI, SHARMILA S |  |
| ARLINGTO        | ARLINGTON, VA 22202                          |              |                                      | ART UNIT                      | PAPER NUMBER          |  |
|                 |  |              |                                      | 1616                          |                       |  |
|                 |  |              |                                      | DATE MAILED: 12/26/2002       |                       |  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|   | Application No.   | Applicant(s)   |  |  |  |  |  |
|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| gir   | 09/832,897  | UEYAMA ET AL.  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Office Action Summary   | Examiner  | Art Unit   |  |  |  |  |  |
|   | Sharmila S. Gollamudi   | 1616   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Th MAILING DATE of this communication app ars on the cover sheet with the correspondenc address   |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM   |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNI  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comm  - If the period for reply specified above, the maximum st  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months a earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status   | CATION. of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may nunication. 0) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of tatutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) M will, by statute, cause the application to become | thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  IONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) file  | led on <u>10 October 2002</u> .   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> .  | 2b) This action is non-final.   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Disposition of Claims  AN  Claim(s) 1.5 and 7 is/are pending in   | a the application   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|   | Claim(s) <u>1-5 and 7</u> is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.   | _   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6) Claim(s) <u>1-5, 7</u> is/are rejected.  |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.   |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restrict   | ction and/or election requirement.  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Application Papers  |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9)☐ The specification is objected to by the   | e Examiner.   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.   |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).   |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11)☐ The proposed drawing correction file   | d on is: a)□ approved b)□   | disapproved by the Examiner.   |  |  |  |  |  |
| If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.   |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120   |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).   |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| <ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority</li> </ol>  | 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority   | 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>   |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.   |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Attachment(s)   | <i>p</i> 1 <i>y</i> 1.1125. 25 <b>0.0</b> .   | • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-1449) PTO-1449) PTO- | PTO-948) 5) Notice  | ew Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  |  |  |  |  |  |

Art Unit: 1616

### **DETAILED ACTION**

Receipt for Extension of Time and Amendment A received on October 10, 2002 is acknowledged. Claims 1-5 and 7 are included in the prosecution of this application. Claim 6 is canceled.

## Response to Arguments

Applicant argues that the composition is applied to hair that is shampooed and excess water is squeezed. It is argued that such a process does not describe a method in which the hair treatment is applied to dry hair.

The examiner points out that the instant method claims recite the claims language "comprising", which does not exclude the steps of shampooing the hair and squeezing out the excess water. Further, as pointed out by the applicant, Altobelli teaches the process of squeezing out excess water; therefore yielding sufficiently dry hair. The examiner points out that instant claims do not recite absolute dry hair; therefore Altobelli is said to anticipate the instant invention. In regards to the water content, Altobelli clearly envisages the instant 20% water as evidenced in column 4, line 63, column 9, line 22, and claim 1. Further, Altobelli teaches a primary example (1 and 2) and variations using the base composition (examples 3-26).

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

<sup>(</sup>b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Application/Control Number: 09/832,897

Art Unit: 1616

Rejection of claims 1-5 are maintained and new claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Altobelli et al (5110318).

Altobelli et al teaches a composition and method for coloring/conditioning hair. The composition contains oil (instant range), glycerin (solvent), and water (Note examples). The method of use involves covering the treated hair with a cap and heating the hair for 13-30 minutes at 80-120 Fahrenheit under a heated salon hood (col.6, lines 29-44).

### Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 09/832,897

Art Unit: 1616

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sharmila S. Gollamudi whose telephone number is 703-305-2147. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (7:30-4:30).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jose Dees can be reached on 703-308-4628. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-305-3014 for regular communications and 703-305-3014 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 709-3080196.

SSG

December 17, 2002

MICHAEL G. HARTLEY

Page 4